

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

			wasiing	31011, D.O. E0E01	MC
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/165.772	10/02/98	C00K		J 9	7P7709US01
_		MM42/0303	コ	EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CORPORATION				POLITZER, J	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 186 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN NJ 08830				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2856	
				DATE MAILED:	03/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No.

09/165,772

Applicant(s)

Cook et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Dr. Jay L. Politzer

Group Art Unit

2856



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 25, 2000			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims	•		
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s) 4-16	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			
	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.		
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	he priority documents have been		
received.			
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb			
received in this national stage application from the In			
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority			
Acknowledgement is made or a claim for domestic priority	under 35 0.5.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892     Notice of References Cited Ci	.) E		
	5) <del>5</del>		
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	F FOLLOWING PAGES		

Serial Number: 09/165,772

Art Unit: 2856

Title: TEMPERATURE CORRECTION METHOD AND SUBSYSTEM FOR

AUTOMOTIVE EVAPORATIVE LEAK DETECTION SYSTEMS

Filed: 10/2/98

Inventor(s): Cook et al
Attorney(s): Weingaertner

#### DETAILED ACTION

## REJECTIONS OVER PRIOR ART UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103:

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

"A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person."

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Basile.

Regarding Claims 1-2; see Col 3, Li 12-41 wherein pressure and temperature are continually measured and pressure is always temperature compensated. Basile tests tanks but fails to test automotive tanks. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Basile's method to automotive tanks because this is analogous art with the same classification.

Serial Number: 09/205,772

Art Unit:

2856

Regarding Claim 3; Basile fails to teach this exact equation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Applicant's equation or variations of it because the perfect gas law and manipulations using it are notoriously old and well known in the art.

#### DESCRIPTION OF UNAPPLIED ART:

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it teaches other tank testing approaches.

### INQUIRIES:

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Jay L. Politzer whose telephone number is (703) 305-4930 and whose facsimile number is (703) 308-7725
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached at (703) 305-4705.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

gin E. Will

jlp 2/28/00

127